Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

## Carl Henrik Görbitz<sup>a</sup>\* and Einar Sagstuen<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Chemistry, University of Oslo, PO Box 1033 Blindern, N-0315 Oslo, Norway, and <sup>b</sup>Department of Physics, University of Oslo, PO Box 1048 Blindern, N-0316 Oslo, Norway

Correspondence e-mail: c.h.gorbitz@kjemi.uio.no

#### **Key indicators**

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 105 KMean  $\sigma$ (C–C) = 0.001 Å R factor = 0.033 wR factor = 0.092 Data-to-parameter ratio = 15.7

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

# Cytosinium *N*-benzoylglycinate monohydrate, a redetermination at 105 K

The crystal structure of the title complex,  $C_4H_6N_3O^+$ .- $C_9H_8NO_3^-$ ·H<sub>2</sub>O, has been redetermined as part of an electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) study of the effect of radiation on such systems. Protonated cytosine and the deprotonated carboxylate group of the amino acid form a typical eightmembered hydrogen-bonded ring motif. Received 24 September 2004 Accepted 29 September 2004 Online 9 October 2004

### Comment

The physical and chemical alterations of DNA induced by ionizing radiation are significantly influenced by the environment of the macromolecule, such as the degree of hydration, the possible presence of various radiation-modifying agents and the extent of molecular packing and complexation with nuclear proteins (histones) (Becker & Sevilla, 1998). In particular, the possible modifying action of histones on the DNA radiation response is important (Weiland & Hüttermann, 2000). Model studies of crystalline 1:1 complexes of a peptide and a nucleic acid constituent are useful for understanding mechanisms for charge transfer between the partners of the complex following the radiation-induced charge injection. For the purpose of electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) studies of the effect of radiation on such systems, single crystals are the preferred type of sample. The crystal structure of the N-benzoylglycine (hippuric acid)-cytosine complex (obtained as a monohydrate), (I), had been determined previously by Tamura et al. (1972). The R factor is, however, high by current standards (0.131) and several H atoms are missing or have obviously inaccurate positions. Hence, a new structure analysis was undertaken, providing an improved set of cell parameters as well as structural data of much higher precision.



The structure of (I) is shown in Fig. 1. The bond lengths (Table 1) and angles of protonated cytosine correspond closely to those observed in the structure of cytosine hydrochloride (Mandel, 1977), as well as in other structures with protonated cytosine. The cytosinium–carboxylate interaction with two

© 2004 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved



#### Figure 1

The molecular structure of the cytosinium N-benzoylglycinate monohydrate complex. Displacement ellipsoids are shown at the 50% probability level, H atoms are shown as spheres of arbitrary size and hydrogen bonding is indicated by dashed lines.



#### Figure 2

View of a flat tube generated by hydrogen bonding. For clarity, only atom C4B, coloured orange, is shown for the phenyl rings of N-benzoylglycine. The *b* axis is vertical and hydrogen bonding is indicated by dashed lines.



#### Figure 3

The molecular packing and unit cell viewed along the b axis. Hydrogen bonding is indicated by dashed lines.

hydrogen bonds (Fig. 1) is a motif observed in all cytosine complexes contained in the Cambridge Structural Database (Version 5.25; Allen, 2002) where the co-crystallized organic anion contains a carboxylate group. These include N-formylglycinate (Ohki et al., 1975), hydrogen maleate (Balasubramanian et al., 1996), trichloroacetate (Gdanic et al., 1989) and N,N-phthaloyl-DL-glutamate (Takenaka et al., 1980). Cytosine-amino acid pairs are connected by a direct H72A···O8A(x, y - 1, z) hydrogen bond and a bridging water

(1-z) hydrogen bonds to form a flat tube-like arrangement, as shown in Fig. 2. One more strong hydrogen bond (N1B-H1B) connects the tubes and completes the two-dimensional hydrogen-bonding pattern (Fig. 3). The herring-bone stacking of aromatic rings gives rise to several close  $C-H \cdots C$  contacts, the shortest being C7B-H7 · · · C4B $(x, \frac{3}{2} - y, \frac{1}{2} + z)$  at 2.846 Å.

## **Experimental**

N-Benzoylglycine and cytosine monohydrate were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich. Colorless needle-shaped crystals were prepared by slow evaporation of 1:1 (v/v) methanol-water solutions at room temperature or at 308 K.

> $D_x = 1.412 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation

> > reflections

 $\theta = 1.9 - 28.3^{\circ}$  $\mu = 0.11 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ 

T = 105 (2) K

Block, colourless  $1.05 \times 0.95 \times 0.22 \ \mathrm{mm}$ 

Cell parameters from 6264

molecule to form extended chains along the b axis. Two such

chains are then joined by  $N1A - H1A \cdots O3B(1 - x, 2 - y)$ ,

Crystal data

 $C_4H_6N_3O^+ \cdot C_9H_8NO_3^- \cdot H_2O$  $M_r = 308.30$ Monoclinic,  $P2_1/c$ a = 11.4821 (2) Å b = 7.2107 (1) Åc = 18.2817 (3) Å  $\beta = 106.692 \ (1)^{\circ}$  $V = 1449.83 (4) \text{ Å}^3$ Z = 4

#### Data collection

Bruker SMART CCD	3603 independent reflections
diffractometer	3354 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
$\omega$ scans	$R_{\rm int} = 0.018$
Absorption correction: multi-scan	$\theta_{\rm max} = 28.3^{\circ}$
(SADABS; Sheldrick, 1996)	$h = -15 \rightarrow 14$
$T_{\min} = 0.816, \ T_{\max} = 0.976$	$k = -9 \rightarrow 9$
13211 measured reflections	$l = -19 \rightarrow 24$

#### Refinement

$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0477P)^2]$
+ 0.4875P]
where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.012$
$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.37 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.26 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
Extinction correction: SHELXTI
Extinction coefficient: 0.0199 (18)

## Table 1

Selected geometric parameters (Å, °).

O8A - C2A	1.2228 (12)	C5A - C6A	1.3482 (14)
N1A - C6A	1.3675 (13)	O1B-C1B	1.2497 (12)
N1A - C2A	1.3675 (13)	O2B-C1B	1.2681 (12)
N3A - C4A	1.3613 (11)	O3B-C3B	1.2476 (12)
N3A - C2A	1.3786 (12)	N1B-C3B	1.3379 (12)
N7A - C4A	1.3077 (13)	N1B-C2B	1.4457 (12)
C4A - C5A	1.4299 (13)		
O1B-C1B-C2B-N1	B 6.87 (13)	C2B-N1B-C3B	-C4B - 173.84(8)
O2B - C1B - C2B - N1	B -173.87 (8)	N1B-C3B-C4B	-C5B 25.23 (13)
C1B-C2B-N1B-C3	B 70.48 (12)	N1B-C3B-C4B	-C9B - 156.93(9)

Table 2		
Hydrogen-bonding geometry	(Å,	°).

$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - H \cdots A$
$N1A - H1A \cdots O3B^{i}$	0.880 (15)	1.887 (15)	2.7595 (11)	170.8 (13)
$N3A - H3A \cdots O2B$	0.913 (14)	1.799 (14)	2.7121 (11)	178.1 (12)
$N7A - H71A \cdots O1B$	0.925 (15)	1.829 (15)	2.7543 (12)	179.3 (14)
$N7A - H72A \cdots O8A^{ii}$	0.880 (16)	1.897 (16)	2.7576 (11)	165.6 (14)
$C5A - H5A \cdots O3B^{iii}$	0.953 (14)	2.496 (14)	3.4128 (12)	161.3 (11)
$N1B - H1B \cdots O1C^{iv}$	0.803 (15)	2.096 (15)	2.8453 (11)	155.4 (13)
$O1C - H11C \cdots O1B$	0.861 (17)	1.968 (17)	2.8163 (10)	168.5 (15)
$O1C - H12C \cdot \cdot \cdot O2B^{ii}$	0.874 (17)	2.039 (17)	2.8998 (10)	168.1 (15)
Symmetry codes: (i) 1 -	-x, 2-v, 1-z	; (ii) $x, y - 1, z$	z; (iii) $1 - x, 1 - x$	-v, 1-z; (iv)

-x, 1-y, 1-z.

Positional parameters were refined for H atoms bonded to O, N and C5A, which are involved in hydrogen bonds, as well as for the methylene H atoms of N-benzoylglycinate. Atom H6A and the aromatic H atoms of N-benzoylglycine were positioned with idealized geometry and fixed C-H distances (0.95 Å).  $U_{\rm iso}$  values were fixed at  $1.2U_{\rm eq}$  of the carrier atom for the cytosinium and N-benzoylglycinate molecules and  $1.5U_{\rm eq}$  for the water molecule.

Data collection: *SMART* (Bruker, 1998); cell refinement: *SAINT-Plus* (Bruker, 2001); data reduction: *SAINT-Plus*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXTL* (Bruker, 2000); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXTL*; molecular graphics: *SHELXTL*; software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXTL*.

The purchase of the Bruker SMART CCD diffractometer was made possible through support from the Research Council of Norway (NFR).

#### References

- Allen, F. H. (2002). Acta Cryst. B58, 380-388.
- Balasubramanian, T., Muthiah, P. T. & Robinson, W. T. (1996). Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn, 69, 2919–2922.
- Becker, D. & Sevilla, M. D. (1998). Radiation damage to DNA in X-irradiated 'dry' and hydrated chromatin. In Special Periodical Reports of Electron Paramagnetic Resonance, edited by K. McLauchlan, Vol. 16, pp. 79–115. Cambridge: The Royal Society of Chemistry.
- Bruker (1998). SMART. Version 5.054. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Bruker (2000). SHELXTL. Version 6.10. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Bruker (2001). SAINT-Plus. Version 6.22. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Gdanic, M., Brycki, B. & Szafran, M. (1989). J. Mol. Struct. 195, 57-64.
- Mandel, N. S. (1977). Acta Cryst. B33, 1079-1082.
- Ohki, M., Takenaka, A, Shimanouchi, H. & Sasada, Y. (1975). Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn, 48, 848–852.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1996). SADABS. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Takenaka, A., Ohki, M. & Sasada, Y. (1980). Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn, 53, 2724– 2730.
- Tamura, C., Hata, T., Sato, S. & Sakurai, N. (1972). Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn, 45, 3254–3261.
- Weiland, B. & Hüttermann, J. (2000). Int. J. Radiat. Biol. 76, 1075-1084.